SUMMARY FOR INFRASTRUCTURE PORTFOLIO MEETING
DATE: May 14, 2014
TIME: 2-3:30 p.m.
LOCATION: Eccles Executive Boardroom, Marriott Library

IN ATTENDANCE:
Rick Anderson    Nelson Beebe    Mark Beekhuizen    Dan Bowden
Steven Corbató    Steven Dean    Mike Ekstrom    Cynthia Furse
Dan Hutten

COMMITTEE SUPPORT: Anita Sjoblom

UNABLE TO ATTEND:
David Blackburn    Jim Livingston    Sylvia Torti

AGENDA ITEMS DISCUSSED:
• Approve past meeting minutes
• PCI update
• Security policy update
• One Network update
• IP governance task force
• Identity and Access Management update
• July meeting

Approve past meeting minutes

The minutes were approved without changes.

PCI update

Dan Bowden told the group the Payment Card Industry report on compliance is taking longer than anticipated, in part due to incorrect information provided by outside entities. The new target date to complete the review is June 30. Bowden said the assessing bodies are aware of the reasons for the delay and are working with University leadership to avoid penalties.

Security policy update

Bowden next addressed the proposed additions to the University Information Security policy, which would add several rules to the existing set. The group discussed the need for a larger review from the greater campus community. Bowden was asked to create a communications plan including a list of potential major areas that would want to vet the changes, as well as how they could make comments and whether there would be any in-person presentations. He will bring the proposed communication plan back to the portfolio for approval, perhaps in an email vote.
One Network update

Steve Dean and Mike Ekstrom explained the reporting structure for the One Network task force, which has a steering committee and three subcommittees: Architecture, User, and Business. Architecture will inventory the existing network; User will assess the needs of network users; and Business will look at the requirements of the network from a business standpoint. Each subgroup will have co-chairs. Subcommittees will report to the steering committee, and information from all the subcommittees will be shared in the general meetings, as well. The group will consult with other governance portfolios.

IP governance task force

Ekstrom pointed out that a previously approved IP governance task force closely resembles the Architecture subcommittee in the One Network task force, and asked whether the IP governance group’s duties can simply be transferred to the Architecture group as part of the One Network effort. The group unanimously approved.

Identity and Access Management update

Ekstrom said UIT has so far been unable to find someone with the right skillset to lead the Identity and Access Management (IAM) program. There is a contingency plan that would allow work to move forward. He will update the portfolio as information becomes available. The group discussed how critical the IAM effort is to nearly every major project, and how in many ways it is tied to the One Network effort. As has been discussed in other IT meetings, there is no readily available solution that could be purchased and suit the needs of the University’s diverse stakeholders. There is a multi-institution effort ramping up that would address the needs of higher education and research institutions in this arena. Another point brought up was that the biggest hurdle for IAM is not going to be technology, but the willingness of the University to define the baseline data-access configurations for each type of role on campus.

July meeting

The portfolio will not meet in July.
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