OPERATIONAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE
MEETING SUMMARY
DATE: 5.31.2013
TIME: 1:00-2:00 PM
LOCATION: 300 Park

IN ATTENDANCE:
Eric Denna  Michele Ballantyne  Chris Ireland  Amy Wildermuth
Jeff West  Michael Kay  Cathy Anderson  Mary Parker
Rick Anderson  Mike Perez  Cindy Furse

COMMITTEE SUPPORT: Josh Wall, Aspen Perry

UNABLE TO ATTEND:
Quinn McKenna  Mike Strong  Rich Brown  Wayne Samuelson
Tom Cheatham  Gordon Crabtree  Raymond Tymas-Jones  Martha Bradley

Previous meeting minutes unanimously approved.

University Information Technology (UIT) Services Common Good
Decision for the committee – are these the criteria for common good? The goal of adding criteria is a good one. Should room be left to amend at a later date? The criteria the committee is considering are as follows.

• Large Cross-Functional User Base
• Required for Business to Run
• Relies on Common Infrastructure
• Similar Solution Characteristics
• Natural Utilities
• Achieves Global Maximization
• Addresses Institutional Compliance and Risk
• Common User Experience
• Strong Governance Structure

The committee agreed that communication to the general campus community will be important. Information for general consumption should be comprehensible so that people will have a complete understanding of how common goods decisions are made. The committee recommended that adding a sentence or two of description for each criterion will add clarity.

The committee noted that the proposed criteria include results or outcomes. Some modifications to the recommended criteria were proposed. These modifications will be made and presented to the committee in June for approval.
Costs will be presented to the committee in future meetings. This will be the next step in creating a sustainable IT funding model. Timeline will be 2-4 years.

Reviewed four current UIT services and applied them to common good criteria.

- Network
- Business Process Analysis & Design
- Classroom Technology Systems
- Research Desktop Support

Discussion of each service centered on whether or not the service meets the criteria for common good. No official vote was taken for this agenda item. Further discussion will occur before a final decision.

The committee decided to change terminology to “Common Resources” instead of “Common Good” – resources offers clarity and will not be confused with the economics term and definition of “common good.”

**Location Data**
Discussion will be saved for next meeting.

**Data Management Policy Change**
Discussion will be saved for next meeting.