SUMMARY FOR INFRASTRUCTURE PORTFOLIO MEETING
DATE: February 12, 2014
TIME: 2-3:30 p.m.
LOCATION: Marriott Library Executive Board Room

IN ATTENDANCE:
Nelson Beebe    Mark Beekhuizen    David Blackburn    Dan Bowden    Steven Corbató
Steven Dean    Eric Denna    Mike Ekstrom    Sylvia Torti

COMMITTEE SUPPORT: Aspen Perry, Anita Sjoblom

UNABLE TO ATTEND:
Cynthia Furse    Dan Hutten    Jim Livingston

AGENDA ITEMS DISCUSSED:
• One Network
• Information Security Policy
• Payment Card Industry (PCI) Update

One Network

The Council of Academic Deans (CAD) was asked whether colleges would find it beneficial if the University of Utah moved toward a “one network” concept, where University Information Technology would oversee a central network used by all of campus. Currently, many colleges have their own network managed by an internal IT group. The deans indicated the decision drive would be whether the network would be cheaper and better than what a college could do on its own. The Common Infrastructure Services group went through an external network audit process with representatives from the LDS Church, Indiana University and Clemson University to evaluate the performance and needs of the university’s network. The auditing team’s top recommendation was to create one network, the scope of which UIT would define while taking into account specific recommendations from the Common Infrastructure Services group. Recommendations would be presented to CAD to obtain their feedback and let them know what would be required to begin this process. It would mean putting a group together to discover what needs to be done. That group would report to the Infrastructure portfolio and also touch on issues for the Teaching and Learning Technologies portfolio and Research portfolio. The challenge is defining a model to include wired, wireless, and cellular connections, but being limber enough to meet the demands of differing areas, such as research performance, security (PCI, student record, research, etc.), and a higher-liability environment (medical device, lab instrument, etc.).

A portfolio member raised a concern about phone service being included in the network. People are accustomed to losing Internet access or rebooting computers. However, losing a phone connection
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during a network outage could cause major issues. It was discussed that UIT realizes the necessity of reliable phone service and that if done correctly, the system would be superior to a purely data service.

Funding will become an issue because the campus backbone is underfunded and would not be able to bring this on. That being said if the discovery ends up being that we cannot do this at this time and meet the needs, then it will not move forward. It was recommended that a faculty member or someone with faculty experience join the committee to ensure the discussion adequately weighs the needs of the academic setting against the nuts-and-bolts needs.

Portfolio members were asked if they felt this should be moved forward on and to send nominations to Mike Ekstrom and Steve Corbató for potential committee members.

**Information Security Policy**

Dan Bowden presented an overview on the information security policy for portfolio members to review. This policy was geared toward meeting compliance standards. Bowden will be taking the policy through various groups through March for recommendations. No vote was needed at this time.

**PCI Update**

The university is in the middle of the preparing for a report on compliance regarding payment card information, which runs through April. The University and Health Sciences areas have improved enough to significantly reduce the scope. That being said, there is still a large portion of work before the April deadline. In the next week, Dan Bowden’s team will draw up a list to those areas in need of immediate improvement. They will be given a solution that will make them compliant, “which has not made friends,” Bowden said, but is essential to ensure our systems pass the audit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Person/Group</th>
<th>Next step</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inform</td>
<td>One Network</td>
<td>Steve Corbató &amp; Mike Ekstrom</td>
<td>Informed portfolio members of the One Network recommendations. Portfolio members will send member recommendations to Steve and Mike for the One Network group being established.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform</td>
<td>PCI Update</td>
<td>Dan Bowden</td>
<td>Updated portfolio members on PCI meeting ROC deadlines.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>