## Agenda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Current and upcoming security issues for data/network/IAM</td>
<td>Dan Bowden</td>
<td>Inform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Certificate management</td>
<td>Dan Bowden</td>
<td>Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Active Directory Discussion</td>
<td>Dan Bowden</td>
<td>Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Governance submission webform approval</td>
<td>Scott Sherman</td>
<td>Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Debrief of Deloitte IT assessment findings on architecture issues</td>
<td>Jim Livingston</td>
<td>Inform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Campus-wide IT strategic plan</td>
<td>Steve Hess</td>
<td>Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Campus IT financial model statement of work</td>
<td>Steve Hess</td>
<td>Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Network connection memorandum of understanding</td>
<td>Jim Livingston</td>
<td>Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Set next meeting date/time</td>
<td></td>
<td>Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Open floor</td>
<td></td>
<td>Discuss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Adjourn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

View related materials for these agenda items in the ANTC Box folder by clicking here.
1. Security issues for data/network/IAM

Chief Information Security Officer Dan Bowden will discuss security issues facing campus that will play a part in the decision process for the committee. Here is an outline of the topics he plans to discuss. This is an information item only.

**HIPAA**
- Re-new awareness of mobile device encryption
- Personal device usage + BYOD awareness
- HIPAA OCR Audits for 2016
- HIPAA Mock Audit

**FERPA**
- Data Classification: Student data is currently “Sensitive“, should it be “Restricted”

**PCI**
- Continuous improvement
- End-to-end encryption

**Ongoing operations – recent examples of serious incidents**
- Endpoint security

**2FA**

**Network security and Identity-based security**
- IAM efforts
2. Certificate management

Chief Information Security Officer Dan Bowden would like to have a discussion about certificate management practices on campus, including the current inventory and gaps. He would like to discuss who has the authority to correct problems, and whether technology or processes could be implemented to improve campus-wide certificate management. The committee could take action on this item based on the discussion.
3. Active Directory discussion

There are multiple instances of Active Directory on campus at this time. Chief Information Security Officer Dan Bowden would like to discuss the current status and history of Active Directory on campus, as well as review the direction for the central Active Directory. This discussion will talk about the current state’s effect on services such as Office365, Box, and information security in general. The committee may take action based on the discussion.
4. Governance submission webform approval

As discussed in the kick-off meeting, many future governance agenda items or requests will be made through standard online forms that will gather the information necessary for representatives to make an informed decision. Please look at the following forms and determine whether they are sufficient to post publicly and begin being used. Changes can be made at any time.

Add service request form - [http://it.utah.edu/forms/governance/add-service-request.php](http://it.utah.edu/forms/governance/add-service-request.php)

Problem/Suggestion form - [http://it.utah.edu/forms/governance/problem-suggestion.php](http://it.utah.edu/forms/governance/problem-suggestion.php)

Business Case form - [http://it.utah.edu/forms/governance/business-case.php](http://it.utah.edu/forms/governance/business-case.php)

The committee is being asked to approve these forms, or to suggest changes.
5. Debrief of Deloitte architecture-specific issues

The university contracted with Deloitte to do an in-depth campus IT assessment last year. This assessment wrapped up in November, and presentations of key data points have been given throughout campus to a variety of groups. In all, Deloitte made 28 recommendations for improving the IT experience and technical capabilities of the university. The entire Deloitte Deliverable B can be found in the governance Box folder, or click here to view it.

It is now up to the university to decide which Deloitte recommendations to implement and how to do so. Jim Livingston will highlight the IT architecture items that will drive much of the ANTC agenda in the months and years ahead. The slide deck for his presentation can be found in the governance Box folder, or click here to view it.

This is in an information item.
While the university has an overall strategic plan spearheaded by Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs Ruth Watkins, it does not have a campus-wide IT-specific strategic plan intended to help bring the overall plan to fruition. Information technology is an essential part to the academic, research, and administrative functions of the university. Both the Architecture and New Technology Committee and the Strategic IT Committee are being asked to help shape a campus-wide IT strategic plan that will guide the overall direction of IT on campus to ensure it aligns with the goals of the university.

University Information Technology has a strategic plan for the services it offers, which can be found on the governance Box folder, or click here to read it.

In order to facilitate a discussion about the campus-wide plan, an initial draft plan has been created by taking into account the university’s big four goals, the Deloitte IT assessment recommendations, Educause’s top 10 IT issues facing higher education, and UIT’s plan. This discussion will be introduced by Chief Information Officer Steve Hess.

The draft campus-wide IT strategic plan can be found on the governance Box folder, or click here to read it.

The committee is being asked to discuss and take action on this item.
The University of Utah received 28 recommendations from Deloitte as a result of their assessment of University IT services and processes. The university is in the beginning stages of assessing the financial model for campus IT to address two of those recommendations: Mature the funding model to align to ubiquitous services (Recommendation 2.1), and Develop a ubiquitous service strategy (Recommendation 5.1)

**Overall goals for the recommendations (includes Hospital and Clinics)**
- Understand and refine the current baseline costs of IT services
- Provide recommendations for a catalog of ubiquitous services, including definition of the service, who is providing the service and how it is funded
- Identify duplicative services across campus
- Determine which services are ubiquitous and their funding models
- Determine how to fund and manage ubiquitous services in the future, including change management, policies and procedures, and service catalog

The full statement of work can be found on the governance Box folder, or [click here to read it](#).

The business case can be found on the governance Box folder, or [click here to read it](#).

The university executive leadership team has already approved this project, and the committee is being asked if there are any suggestions or areas of focus for the project team to consider as part of this work.
8. Network connection memorandum of understanding

University Information Technology’s Common Infrastructure Services team is in the process of writing service-level agreements and memorandums of understanding surrounding common-good services it offers. This committee is being asked to review the current draft of a network connection memorandum of understanding that outlines the expectations and responsibilities of both the university and any part of the organization that wishes to run its own equipment on the network.

The current draft of the network connection MOU can be found in the governance Box folder, or click here to see it.

Jim Livingston, Mike Ekstrom and Trevor Long will be available to answer questions or address concerns. The committee is being asked to approve this document or suggest changes.
9. Setting future meeting dates/times

The committee needs to determine when and where it would like to meet in the future.

- Location (Dumke at EBC, or something more central?)
- Consistent day (ie: Third Thursday of the month)
- Time of day (Morning, afternoon)
- Frequency (Monthly for first six months and then reassess?)
10. Open floor

Any issues that need to be discussed here publicly before we next meet?