SUMMARY FOR OPERATIONAL IT COMMITTEE MEETING

DATE: September 18, 2014
TIME: 1:45-3 p.m.
LOCATION: 300 Park

IN ATTENDANCE:
Cathy Anderson  Michele Ballantyne  Martha Bradley  David Browdy
Rich Brown  Alberta Comer  Steve Corbató  Cynthia Furse
Chris Ireland  Mike Perez  Raymond Tymas-Jones  Jeff West
Amy Wildermuth

COMMITTEE SUPPORT: Rene Eborn

UNABLE TO ATTEND:
Tom Cheatham  Gordon Crabtree  Patricia Hanna  Quinn McKenna
John Nixon  Mary Parker  Wayne Samuelson  Mike Strong
Jim Turnbull

AGENDA ITEMS DISCUSSED:
• OITC general items
• 2015 meeting schedule
• Unizin and Kuali updates
• Portfolio updates

OITC general items

The meeting minutes of June 19 were approved. Members decided to move OITC meeting reference materials and information to a Box account for collaboration. In addition, the October meeting was canceled due to the fall break schedule, and there will be one November/December meeting for end-of-year decisions.

Unizin and Kuali updates

Steve Corbató updated portfolio members on the status of the Kuali initiative, which has seen significant changes as a for-profit Kuali arm has taken shape. The university remains actively engaged in the Kuali Student and Rice (middleware) projects. Corbató and Mark Simpson represent the university on the Student and Rice boards. Corbató committed to track the commercialization process closely over the coming months and look for opportunities to accelerate our implementation and ensure the inclusion of features needed for the campus student experience improvement initiative. He also shared with the committee members that the University of Utah has delayed joining the Unizin consortium for the next six months, and members will be kept informed and updated on the progress.
Portfolio updates

As portfolio chairs provided brief updates, several questions arose regarding the current governance model, which has been in effect for a year. It was determined this would be a good time to evaluate the effectiveness of governance model, identify areas of improvement, and review process and membership. While many agreed that this has been an important process, there was an acknowledgment that several large strategic decisions were made outside governance.

The Faculty Information Technology Advisory Committee (FITAC) has proposed and is piloting a change. This committee has served an important role in helping to align IT efforts with the needs and preferences of University of Utah faculty and programs. In the coming year, the Senate Executive Committee will provide faculty input into IT issues. This will be a test to determine whether that practice makes sense going forward. Steve Alder is leading this process and will be available for portfolio questions and feedback.

University Support Services Portfolio – It was noted the OITC has yet to address the resource capacity issue within the University Support Services portfolio. Before the end of the year, the OITC should determine whether to invest additional resources, reallocate portfolio investments, or determine some investment scenarios with a mission-critical lens.

Research Portfolio – Corbató is working with Ruth Watkins and others to determine a new portfolio chair.

Infrastructure – Cynthia Furse reported that the past few meetings have been very informational and that in the near future there will be a review of the One Network Initiative and security plans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Person/Group</th>
<th>Next step</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>OITC general items</td>
<td>Portfolio</td>
<td>Previous meeting minutes were approved. OITC information and documents will be migrated to a Box account. The October meeting is canceled, and there will be a joint November/December meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action item</td>
<td>Unizin and Kuali updates</td>
<td>Steve Corbató</td>
<td>Corbató will keep members apprised of the unfolding Kuali and Unizin situations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action item</td>
<td>Portfolio updates</td>
<td>Portfolio</td>
<td>OITC should determine what actions to take regarding resource allocation issues in the University Support Services portfolio.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>