

SUMMARY FOR TEACHING AND LEARNING PORTFOLIO

DATE: October 7, 2013

TIME: 9:30 – 10:30 a.m.

LOCATION: Marriott Library 5201

IN ATTENDANCE:

Cory Stokes	Fernando Rubio	Martha Bradley	Pam Hardin
Rick Ash	Wayne Samuelson		

COMMITTEE SUPPORT: Aspen Perry, Josh Wall, Rebekah Grow

UNABLE TO ATTEND:

Catherine Soehner	Eric Denna	Jean Shipman	Kirsten Butcher
Linda Ralston	Nalini Nadkarni	Patrick Panos	Patrick Tripeny

AGENDA ITEMS DISCUSSED:

- IT Funding for New Academic Buildings
- Re-thinking Allocation and Administration of Student Computing Fees.

IT Funding for New Academic Buildings

The Operational Information Technology Committee (OITC) has asked the Teaching and Learning Portfolio for a recommendation regarding how IT projects are funded for new academic spaces. Often when new buildings and spaces are donated to the University there is not a budget designated for IT needs. This poses a problem when the colleges and departments utilizing the space have IT needs and do not have the budget necessary to fulfill their requests.

Recommendations from this committee are the following:

- Funding should be identified prior to opening new academic space.
- Consideration of how many students will be served should be taken into account.
- Identifying the possibility of using donated space in a way that will be beneficial, but not require heavy IT services.

Re-thinking Allocation and Administration of Student Computing Fees

There is a need to re-think how we allocate and administer student computing fees in a way that effectively supports the University's teaching mission. To begin this process the committee reviewed a list of current funding sources to decide which were still top priorities.

After carefully reviewing and discussing, the prioritization categories are as follows:

- Classroom Technology: Classrooms, Labs, Software
- Web Systems: Canvas, Equella, Kaltura, etc. and Media
- Faculty development and class support services

Further discussion needs to take place regarding where to prioritize data and analytics services. The committee requested usage data and cost data for each category in order to make well-informed prioritization decisions. TLT will provide: usage data, service cost data, and funding sources (as-is and to-be suggestions).

In addition, there will be more discussion in regards to central software licensing, VDI and computer labs, which is slated to take place in the November meeting. Online course services and faculty development and support are scheduled for in-depth discussion in December.

Action summary			
Action	Topic	Person/Group	Next step
Action	Funding New Academic Buildings	Josh Wall Presented. TLT Committee recommended.	Recommendations were noted and will be presented to OITC.
Discussed	Re-thinking Allocation and Administration of Student Computing Fees	TLT Committee and Cory Stokes	Committee reviewed and began identifying priorities. TLT will provide background usage and cost data, as listed above.